

1.0 Urban Design

Goal	Status	Recommendations
Goal 1: Maintain a Coherent, Compatible and Aesthetically Pleasing Campus Environment that is Conducive to Learning.	The Campus Framework Plan and Landscape Master Plan emphasize campus design that is welcoming, attractive, integrated, unified and easily navigated.	Modify – Goal 1: Create and Maintain a Campus that is Welcoming, Easily Navigated, and Attractive

Objective	Status	Recommendations
Objective 1.1: Utilize design standards for the construction, renovation and rehabilitation of campus buildings and landscaping.	The Landscape Master Plan provides design principles and standards along with policies and tools for implementation. The Campus Framework Plan also provides high-level themes for campus design. The Campus Design Guidelines provide further instruction on compatible and functional architecture design.	Modify – Objective 1.1: Utilize design standards to create a unified campus appearance with clear connections between different campus regions, disciplines, and partners that welcome and orient campus users and assist them in navigating the campus through coherent visual cues.
Objective 1.2: Utilize urban design features to welcome and orient campus users, and assist them in navigating the campus through coherent visual cues.	See above	Combine as new Objective 1.1

Policies	Status	Benchmarks	Recommendations
<p>Policy 1.1.1: Continue to implement and update as necessary the <u>University of Florida Design and Construction Standards</u>. This document applies to all university construction projects including those performed or managed by Physical Plant Division, Facilities Planning and Construction Division, IFAS Facilities and Operations Division, Department of Housing and Residence Education, and on-campus Sororities and Fraternities. It includes standards for landscaping, lighting, roads, parking, bicycle and pedestrian facilities, interior/exterior signage, irrigation, earthwork, stormwater, utilities and building construction components. A procedure for revising the <u>UF Design and Construction Standards</u> is incorporated into the document.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>The UF Design and Construction Standards are updated regularly with the last update being finalized in March 2013.</p>	<p>Modify to incorporate reference to LMP and CDG; cite ADA is w/in DC Standards; update Facilities Services name</p> <p>Policy 1.1.1: Continue to implement and update as necessary the <u>University of Florida Design and Construction Standards, Landscape Master Plan, and Campus Design Guidelines</u>. These documents apply to all university construction projects including those performed or managed by <u>Facility Services Division, Planning, Design and Construction Division, IFAS Facilities and Operations Division, Department of Housing and Residence Education, University Athletic Association and on-campus Sororities and Fraternities</u>. <u>These documents include guidelines and standards for architecture, landscaping, hardscaping, lighting, roads, parking, bicycle and pedestrian facilities, interior/exterior signage, irrigation, earthwork, stormwater, utilities, and American with Disabilities Act (ADA) compliance and building construction components</u>. <u>Procedure for revising the UF Design and Construction Standards</u> is incorporated into the document.</p>

Policies	Status	Benchmarks	Recommendations
<p>Policy 1.1.2: The University shall develop a campus design guideline document that supplements the <u>University of Florida Design and Construction Standards</u> to provide detailed guidance about such issues as plant selection, functional open space, street furniture, building orientation, stormwater low-impact development, green building considerations (including lighting and solar powered charging stations, and compatibility with historic and natural resources.</p>	<p>Complete</p>	<p>Campus Design Guidelines and a Landscape Master Plan are complete. They will be implemented and updated per Policy 1.1.1.</p>	<p>Delete</p>
<p>Policy 1.2.1: Continue to improve campus gateways as identified in Figure 1-6. Major gateways shall be designed to enhance access for motor vehicles, pedestrians and bicyclists and include significant entry features and signage. Minor access gateways shall be designed to enhance access for motor vehicles, pedestrians and bicycles with specific, adjacent destinations rather than as a primary entry point for the entire campus. Signage and entry features at minor access gateways should be less dramatic than for major gateways and may indicate the specific destinations that are accessed from this point. Bicycle-pedestrian gateways shall emphasize safe and convenient non-auto access. Emerging gateways shall be enhanced as proximate building development occurs.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>The gateway entrance at W. Univ. Ave/W. 13th St. was improved in 2015.</p> <p>The Landscape Master Plan developed standards for a hierarchy of gateway treatments. Some of these gateway designs are currently funded for construction.</p> <p>A wayfinding plan will provide further guidance to signs that can used to direct visitors and gateways and throughout campus.</p>	<p>Replace – Implement gateways in accordance with Landscape Master Plan and update figure numbers.</p> <p>New Policy 1.1.2: Implement gateway features as depicted on Figure 1-6 according to the Landscape Master Plan standards.</p>

Policies	Status	Benchmarks	Recommendations
<p>Policy 1.2.2: Roadway design and streetscaping standards should be developed and implemented to correspond to the roadway hierarchy identified on Figure 1-6, and discussed in the Transportation Element. In this hierarchy, Primary Connector Roads should provide the highest levels of access and guide campus visitors.</p>	<p>Complete</p>	<p>The Landscape Master Plan includes hierarchical roadway and streetscaping standards.</p>	<p>Replace –</p> <p>New Policy 1.1.3: Implement and refine the roadway and streetscape design standards and guidelines of the Landscape Master Plan.</p>
<p>Policy 1.2.3: The University shall work with the City of Gainesville, Alachua County and the Florida Department of Transportation to improve access and aesthetics on Gateway Roads identified on Figure 1-6 through university participation on the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization and its committees, the College Park/University Heights Advisory Board, and any special interest groups or local government committees as may be created to address such issues.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>Through the MTPO and other agencies, the university encourages and participates in joint-planning activities for these roadways.</p> <p>The College Park/University Heights Advisory Board of the Gainesville Community Redevelopment Agency no longer exists as the CRA has been dissolved and its roll assigned as a new City department.</p>	<p>Modify – Delete CPUH reference and update Figure number. Renumber as Policy 1.1.4</p> <p>“... on Figures 1-6 through university participation on the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization and its committees, the College Park/University Heights Advisory Board, and any special interest groups or local government committees as may be created to address such issues.</p>

Policies	Status	Benchmarks	Recommendations
<p>Policy 1.2.4: Open space connections as identified on Figure 1-4, shall be maintained and enhanced to provide bicycle and pedestrian access.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>Recent projects, including Wertheim Laboratory for Engineering Excellence, Norman Hall Renovation, and Reitz Union Expansion/Renovation, have protected and/or enhanced designated open space connections. Other projects did not impact primary pedestrian connections.</p> <p>The Lake Alice Trails Plan identified a specific trail network and design standard to be implemented in the Lake Alice drainage system.</p>	<p>Modify and renumber as Policy 1.1.5</p> <p>“...as identified on Figure 1-4 and <u>1-8 (Lake Alice Trails System)</u>, shall be maintained...”</p>
<p>Policy 1.2.5: Explore the development of wayfinding signage to assist visitors on the main campus, including Shands Teaching Hospital and associated on-campus clinics. Such a signage program should be developed to minimize sign clutter, provide consistent and unified communication, reduce on-campus travel, and be aesthetically pleasing.</p>	<p>In Progress</p>	<p>A Wayfinding Sign plan will be completed in 2020.</p>	<p>Replace –</p> <p>New Policy 1.1.6: Finalize and implement the Wayfinding Plan for main campus and its environs in coordination with the City of Gainesville and Florida Department of Transportation.</p>
		<p>Moved from Objective 1.8</p>	<p>New Policy 1.1.7: Ensure equal access to university facilities, services and resources for individuals regardless of physical ability through application of universal design concepts.</p>

Policies	Status	Benchmarks	Recommendations
		Incorporated from the Landscape Master Plan	New Policy 1.1.8: Project limits for new building projects should ensure that new projects are fully integrated into all existing conditions.
		Incorporated from the Campus Design Guidelines	New Policy 1.1.9: Service areas and mechanical equipment are to be located out of or screened from pedestrian view utilizing techniques prescribe in the Campus Design Guidelines.

Objective 1.3.: Utilize building construction, renovation and rehabilitation to enhance the campus environment.	The Campus Design Guidelines provides design principles and recommendations for compatible and functional architecture design.	Modify and renumber – Objective 1.2: Ensure that buildings define the campus civic realm, preserve campus character, and promote design innovation.
--	--	--

Policies	Status	Benchmark Data	Recommendations
<p>Policy 1.3.1: New buildings or building additions shall strive to maintain a consistent build-to line along roadway frontages as follows and shall not exceed historic building set-backs where applicable:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stadium Road from Gale Lemerand Drive to Buckman Drive shall conform to historic set-backs of Weil Hall, the Hub and Florida Gym. University Avenue from Gale 	Ongoing	<p>This policy has been used to inform building projects along road corridors including Hernandez Hall.</p> <p>The Campus Design Guidelines, Principle 2, address building placement.</p>	<p>Modify and renumber - Policy 1.2.1: New buildings or building additions shall strive to maintain a consistent build-to line along roadway frontages <u>as described in the Landscape Master Plan and Campus Design Guidelines that enhances consistency of campus fabric with facades that address streets and important open spaces.</u></p>

Policies	Status	Benchmark Data	Recommendations
<p>Lemerand to SW 13th Street shall conform to historic set-backs of Keene-Flint, Anderson and Matherly Halls. Any infill development occurring along this roadway frontage shall reflect an urban character with an orientation toward the street, and surface parking areas fronting University Avenue shall be minimized in order to create an enhanced pedestrian environment and a more seamless interface with the surrounding city mixed-use areas.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The west side of SW 13th Street from Archer Road to University Avenue shall conform to the setback of Tigert Hall and the Fine Arts Complex. Any infill development occurring along this roadway frontage shall reflect an urban character with an orientation toward the street. • Center Drive from Archer Road to Museum Road shall conform to the setback of the New Engineering Buildings and begin to create an urban orientation of building facades addressing the roadway. • Museum Road from west of Center Drive to SW 13th Street shall conform 			

Policies	Status	Benchmark Data	Recommendations
<p>to the setback of Frazier-Rogers and Dickinson Halls, and reinforce an urban orientation of building facades addressing the roadway.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New development on Archer Road and SW 16th Avenue shall create an urban orientation of building facades addressing the roadway. • New clusters of development at the Orthopaedic and Sports Medicine Institute, Cultural Plaza, Southwest Recreation and Fifield Hall areas shall create an urban orientation of building facades addressing the roadway. • New development on the north side of Radio Road shall create an urban orientation of building facades that address the roadway, and screen existing warehouses and other utilitarian facilities. • All other development shall be evaluated on a case-by-case basis within the context of adjacent or proximate existing structures. 			

Policies	Status	Benchmark Data	Recommendations
<p>Policy 1.3.2: New buildings or building additions shall preserve or satisfactorily realign pedestrian connections and future shared use path alignments that are identified on Figures 1-4 and 1-6.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>Recent projects, including Hernandez Hall and the Reitz Union expansion have protected and/or enhanced designated open space connections.</p>	<p>Modify and renumber - Policy 1.2.2: New buildings or building additions shall preserve or satisfactorily realign pedestrian connections and future shared use path alignments that are identified on Figures 1-4 and 1-6 <u>in accordance with the design principles in the Landscape Master Plan.</u></p>
<p>Policy 1.3.3: New buildings or building additions shall be oriented to create functional open spaces (e.g. plazas and courtyards) and, where applicable, frame areas identified in the Urban Park land use classification.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>New buildings typically achieve this policy. Notable examples include the Wertheim and Harrell buildings.</p> <p>The Campus Design Guidelines address this policy in Principles 4, 5 and 6. The Landscape Master Plan addresses this in Principle 3.</p>	<p>Modify and renumber - Policy 1.2.3: New buildings or building additions shall <u>be shaped to create well defined functional open spaces, provide clearly defined entrances, and enhance and expand campus circulation patterns consistent with principles of the Campus Design Guidelines and Landscape Master Plan.</u></p>

Policies	Status	Benchmark Data	Recommendations
<p>Policy 1.3.4: New buildings or building additions shall be developed as infill in currently developed areas or in transitioning centers of development around the Orthopaedic and Sports Medicine Institute, Cultural Plaza, Fifield Hall, Genetics/Cancer Institute and at the Radio Road commuter parking lot. Such transitioning centers of development shall strive to achieve a critical mass of functionally-related facilities that can support transit. Site designs shall incorporate pedestrian circulation, bicycle access and functional open space. Buildings shall be a minimum of three stories in height in these transitioning centers of development, except where otherwise specified in this Element or where unique building programs dictate lower height structures and recommended for approval is obtained from the Land Use and Facilities Planning Committee.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>New buildings have conformed to this policy. The Campus Framework Plan confirmed the infill strategy for campus development but recommends emphasizing areas east of Gale Lemerand Drive and minimizing new development along Radio Road and around Fifield Hall.</p> <p>Pedestrian, bicycle and open space requirements are addressed in other policies of this element.</p> <p>Building heights to be addressed in a new single policy.</p>	<p>Modify and renumber - Policy 1.2.4: New buildings or building additions shall be developed <u>as infill primarily in Planning Sectors C and G of Figure 1-1 in addition to other locations infilling around existing housing, cultural or medical facilities and consistent with Figure 11-1.</u></p>

Policies	Status	Benchmark Data	Recommendations
<p>Policy 1.3.5: New buildings or building additions along Archer Road and SW 16th Avenue between the convergence of these roads and SW 13th Street shall define a new urban character reflecting the strategic significance of the Health Science Center and related interdisciplinary programs along with the community’s economic development vision for this gateway to downtown and SW 13th Street. This character shall project the image of a major, urban medical complex and health research park including multi-story and high-rise buildings with an orientation toward the street emphasizing transit, bicycle and pedestrian circulation.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>New building locations in this area were not located along the roadways as applicable to this policy.</p> <p>Pedestrian, bicycle and open space requirements are addressed in other polices of this element.</p> <p>Building orientation and build-to lines addressed in Policy 1.3.1.</p> <p>Building heights to be addressed in a new single policy.</p>	<p>Renumber and replace with consolidated building height policy –</p> <p>Policy 1.2.5: New buildings or building additions shall have massing that enhances consistency of the campus fabric and relates to neighboring buildings consistent with the Campus Design Guidelines. Within this context, building heights shall be as follows (based on Planning Sectors in Figure 1-1) unless unique building programs dictate lower height structures and recommendation for approval is obtained from the Land Use and Facilities Planning Committee.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In Planning Sectors B, C, and D, a minimum of 5-stories • Within Sector C, the Historic Impact Area (Figure 1-2) functions as an overlay recommending building heights between two and five stories tall, not to exceed the height of existing historically significant buildings in close proximity to the development with recommendation for approval by the Preservation of Historic Buildings and Sites Committee, and the Land Use and Facilities Planning Committee • In Planning Sector G, minimum 5-stories while multi-story and high-rise buildings may be appropriate projecting the character of a major, urban medical complex along Gateway Roads where buildings are to address the roadway; at the south end of Sector G abutting Sector I, buildings shall transition to one- and two-story structures before ultimately giving way to pasture, agricultural and conservation uses in Sector I • In Planning Sector “F”, including the P. K. Yonge Laboratory School, building height shall be evaluated on a case-by case basis in consultation with the Land Use and Facilities Planning Committee • In Planning Sectors A, E, H and I, a minimum 3-stories

Policies	Status	Benchmark Data	Recommendations
<p>Policy 1.3.6: New buildings or building additions in Planning Sector “G” (depicted on Figure 1-1) shall project an urban character as described in Policy 1.3.5, with a minimum of five-story building height except where unique building programs dictate lower height structures and recommendation for approval is obtained from the Land Use and Facilities Planning Committee and in areas adjacent to or south of the Veterinary Medicine Hospital which shall transition to one- and two-story structures as existing in Planning Sector “K” before ultimately giving way to pasture, agricultural and conservation uses. Utility uses within Planning Sector “G” are also exempt from this minimum building height requirement.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>The only projects within these sectors have followed the guidelines of this policy, including the 3rd floor addition at the Veterinary Hospital and the VETMED ESCO utility plant.</p>	<p>Delete – incorporate into new Policy 1.2.5</p>
<p>Policy 1.3.7: New buildings or building additions in the Historic Impact Area shall be between two and five stories tall, not to exceed the height of existing historically significant buildings in close proximity to the development site. (The Historic Impact Area and historically significant buildings are depicted in Figure 1-2.) Building heights in the Historic Impact Area shall be approved by the Preservation of Historic Buildings and Sites Committee, and the Land Use and Facilities Planning Committee.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>New buildings in the Historic Impact Area, including Hernandez Hall, Wertheim and the addition to Farrior Hall complied with this policy.</p>	<p>Delete – incorporate into new Policy 1.2.5</p>

Policies	Status	Benchmark Data	Recommendations
<p>Policy 1.3.8: Building heights for new buildings or building additions in Planning Sector “H”, depicted on Figure 1-1 and including the P. K. Yonge Laboratory School shall be evaluated on a case-by case basis in consultation with the Land Use and Facilities Planning Committee.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>New building construction at PKY complied with this policy.</p>	<p>Delete – incorporate into new Policy 1.2.5</p>
<p>Policy 1.3.9: In the remaining parts of campus not addressed in Policies 1.3.4, 1.3.5, 1.3.6, 1.3.7 and 1.3.8, new buildings or building additions shall be a minimum of three stories in height, except where unique building programs dictate lower heights and recommended for approval is obtained from the Land Use and Facilities Planning Committee.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>The LUFPC reviewed all new buildings and building additions, including consideration of building height.</p>	<p>Delete – incorporate into new Policy 1.2.5</p>

Policies	Status	Benchmark Data	Recommendations
<p>Policy 1.3.10: New buildings, building additions or building renovations on the Eastside Campus shall be consistent with the overall site layout depicted in Figure 2-1 Future Land Use Map, and shall incorporate landscaping, pedestrian circulation, transit and bicycle access, and functional open space. New structures shall be two to five stories in height except where unique building programs dictate lower heights and approval is obtained from the Land Use and Facilities Planning Committee. Building skin materials shall include Gainesville-range brick and project the image of a satellite campus comparable to the main campus</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>There have been no new major construction projects completed within the last 5 years, with the only an addition to the Powell Structures and Materials Lab.</p>	<p>Modify and Renumber as Policy 1.2.6: New buildings, building additions or building renovations on the Eastside Campus shall be consistent with the overall site layout depicted in Figure 11-1a 1-12, and shall incorporate landscaping, pedestrian circulation, transit and bicycle access, and functional open space. New structures shall be two to five stories in height except where unique building programs dictate lower heights and approval is obtained from the Land Use and Facilities Planning Committee. Building design shall be consistent with the Campus Design Guidelines skin materials shall include Gainesville-range brick and project the image of a satellite campus comparable to the main campus.</p>
<p>Policy 1.3.11: New buildings and building additions shall integrate with natural topographic and other physical features in order to develop University property in harmony with its natural environment.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>New buildings comply with this policy; however, very few have been constructed on sites with significant naturalized settings or variable topography.</p>	<p>No Change. Renumber as Policy 1.2.7</p>

Policies	Status	Benchmark Data	Recommendations
<p>Policy 1.3.12: New buildings and building additions shall be located in comparable location as the building sites identified in Figure 13-1 of the Capital Improvements Element. The exact building footprint extent and orientation in relation to natural features, utility corridors, pedestrian connections, shared-use paths, historic structure compatibility and other constraints will be developed during project programming and design with a review by the Land Use and Facilities Planning Committee.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>New buildings have complied with the identified CMP building sites. Amendments to the CMP were processed to add building sites for the Reclaimed Water Storage Tank, Reitz Union addition, and small food service additions to Rawlings, Turlington and Broward Dining.</p>	<p>No Change. Renumber as Policy 1.2.8</p>

<p>Objective 1.4: Utilize landscaping and tree preservation to enhance the campus environment.</p>	<p>The Landscape Master Plan provides design principles and recommendations for compatible and functional architecture design.</p>	<p>Modify and renumber – Objective 1.3: Utilize landscaping and tree canopy to enhance the campus environment and reflect the University’s ecological setting.</p>
---	--	---

Policies	Status	Benchmark Data	Recommendations
<p>Policy 1.4.1: Use trees and other plant materials, exterior furniture, paving materials and walls to help reinforce the spatial organization of the campus creating “outdoor rooms” in functional open space (e.g. plazas and courtyards) adjacent to buildings, within the Urban Park future land use classification, and along roadways, pedestrian connections and shared-use paths depicted in Figure 1-4.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>New buildings typically achieve this policy. The Landscape Master Plan and Campus Design Guidelines address these issues.</p>	<p>Modify and renumber as Policy 1.3.1: Use trees and other plant materials, exterior furniture, <u>and paving materials and walls</u> to reinforce spatial organization, <u>create well defined functional open spaces, reinforce clearly defined entrances, enhance existing corridors and campus spaces</u> particularly adjacent to buildings, within the Urban Park future land use classification, and along roadways, pedestrian connections and shared-use paths depicted in Figure 1-4 <u>consistent with principles of the Campus Design Guidelines and Landscape Master Plan.</u></p>
<p>Policy 1.4.2: Implement appropriate landscape, hardscape, pedestrian, and/or bicycle improvements in areas identified as Open Space Enhancement Priorities in Figure 1-5. These improvements may be implemented as part of a building construction project or as independent projects funded through the Physical Plant Division, other administrative sources, grants or private donors. Such projects shall also reinforce the pedestrian connections and shared-use path corridors identified in Figure 1-4. These high-visibility open space enhancements shall be recommended for approval by the Lakes, Vegetation and Landscaping Committee.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>Identified Open Space Enhancements were implemented in the residence hall courtyard areas, Hub-Turlington area, Wilmot Gardens, and portions of the historic dormitory area. The Landscape Master Plan redefined the priority open space enhancements.</p>	<p>Renumber as Policy 1.3.2</p>
<p>Policy 1.4.3: Continue to improve the appearance of campus perimeters along Gateway Roads identified on Figure 1-6. Landscaping, pedestrian amenities and other features shall be compatible with the urban design goals of the adjacent local government jurisdiction. All perimeter</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>Projects such as Heavener Hall and Cypress Hall have addressed and enhanced perimeter road corridors</p>	<p>Replace and renumber as Policy 1.3.3: Maintain campus edges that are attractive and welcoming by implementing Landscape Master Plan priority projects (Figure 1-5), gateway treatments (Figure 1-6), wayfinding signage, and intersection improvements (Figure 8-10) compatible with</p>

Policies	Status	Benchmark Data	Recommendations
<p>landscaping or beautification projects shall be reviewed by the Lakes, Vegetation and Landscaping Committee, Transportation and Parking Committee, Preservation of Historic Buildings and Sites Committee (when applicable), and Land Use and Facilities Planning Committee, thereby affording an opportunity for review by the local government representatives on the committee. General design approaches for each Gateway Road are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Northeastern edge: W. University Avenue (SW 2nd Avenue to SW 13th Street) - Enhance the collegiate/urban character of the University along West University Avenue by incrementally reducing existing surface parking areas as replacement facilities become available in the vicinity. The enhancements should also open up views of historic buildings, and add appropriate new buildings of a similar height and scale to present development. Landscaping, hardscaping, entry signage and pedestrian facilities are appropriate features for this street frontage. • Northwestern edge: SW 2nd Avenue (SW 34th Street to W. University Avenue) - Maintain the collegiate/landscaped character of the University along SW 13th Street by providing a landscaped setback with any new development that includes appropriate entry signage and 		<p>consistent with this policy.</p> <p>The Landscape Master Plan addresses campus edge treatments and gateways.</p> <p>The Campus Design Guidelines address building entries, shapes, massing and orientation.</p> <p>Move reference to review authority to Policy 1.1.2 of the Implementation Element.</p>	<p>Landscape Master Plan standards and the urban design goals of the adjacent local government jurisdiction.</p>

Policies	Status	Benchmark Data	Recommendations
<p>accommodation for bicyclists and pedestrians while respecting the single-family residential scale of this boundary.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eastern edge: SW 13th Street (Archer Road to W. University Avenue) - Maintain the collegiate/landscaped character of the University along SW 13th Street by providing a landscaped setback with any new development that includes appropriate entry signage and accommodation for bicyclists and pedestrians. • Southeastern edge: Archer Road (SW 16th Avenue to SW 13th Street) – Develop an urban streetscape character with tall buildings oriented toward the street, street trees, facilities for transit, bicyclists and pedestrians, entry signage and reduced through-traffic. • Western edge: SW 34th Street (SW 19th Avenue to Radio Road) – Develop a landscaped setback including street trees and entry features with views of significant Urban Park land uses and landmark buildings that invite visitors to the University’s clinical, conference and cultural resources. 			

Policies	Status	Benchmark Data	Recommendations
<p>Policy 1.4.4: Consider the reduction of excessive hardscape areas across campus, and particularly as pavement removal and landscape screening of the existing surface parking at the University's symbolic main entrance at SW 13th Street and SW 2nd Avenue when alternative parking is identified.</p>	Ongoing	<p>No projects have been removed excessive hardscape. The Landscape Master Plan identified a Priority Project to enhance the entrance at SW 13th Street and SW 2nd Ave. without removal of the surface parking lots.</p>	<p>Replace and Renumber as Policy 1.3.4: Consider the reduction of excessive hardscape areas across campus and the possibility of incorporating porous materials in areas of heavy pedestrian use.</p>
<p>Policy 1.4.5: Continue to maintain and expand University inventories of trees (particularly National Champion and Heritage Specimens) and rare plants (both ornamental and naturally-occurring) on the main campus. These inventories are maintained by the Planning, Design and Construction Division in collaboration with the Physical Plant Division, School of Forest Resources and Conservation and Department of Botany.</p>	Ongoing	<p>Heritage trees are identified on a case by case basis as issues arise and certified arborist are brought in to work with projects that have Heritage Trees on site.</p>	<p>Modify and renumber: Policy 1.3.5: Continue to maintain and expand University inventories of trees (particularly National Champion and Heritage Specimens) and rare plants (both ornamental and naturally-occurring) on the main campus. These inventories are maintained by <u>Business Affairs Technical Services</u> in collaboration with the Planning, Design and Construction Division, <u>Facilities Services Division</u>, School of Forest Resources and Conservation, and the Department of Biology.</p>

Policies	Status	Benchmark Data	Recommendations
Policy 1.4.6: The University shall employ a certified arborist on staff and/or as an annual services contractor to evaluate, recommend and oversee tree inventories, management, removals and planting.	Complete	Certified arborists are brought in to work with projects that have Heritage Trees on site. The Facilities Services Division Assistant Director for Grounds and Natural Resources is currently a certified arborist.	No change. Renumber as Policy 1.3.6
Policy 1.4.7: The University shall develop a tree planting plan for tree and large shrub placement to address screening, streetscape, and specimen trees without overplanting and inhibiting security while recognizing that shade is highly valued as is open landscape that accommodates passive recreation, view sheds, and sun on winter days.	Complete	The Landscape Master Plan includes the planting plan.	Replace and renumber as Policy 1.3.7: Maintain and implement the tree and shrub planting plan as specified in the Landscape Master Plan include streetscape standards.
Policy 1.4.8: The <i>University of Florida Design and Construction Standards</i> , Division 02900 shall continue to specify procedures for the protection and replacement of existing trees and vegetation and provide them online.	Ongoing	The D&C Standards contain these procedures in addition to a more specific tree mitigation policy of the Lakes, Vegetation and Landscaping Committee. The Division reference has changed.	Modify and renumber as Policy 1.3.8 - “...Division 329000 shall continue....”

Policies	Status	Benchmark Data	Recommendations
<p>Policy 1.4.9: Development projects that impact or necessitate the removal of existing trees and vegetation shall be addressed according to the University of Florida Design and Construction Standards. Required tree mitigation or relocation shall be approved by the Lakes, Vegetation and Landscaping Committee.</p>	Ongoing	<p>This policy language is directly excerpted from the D&C Standards, which draw from the Faculty Senate Bylaws for the Lakes, Vegetation and Landscaping Committee.</p>	No Change. Renumber as Policy 1.3.9
<p>Policy 1.4.10: Consistent with the <i>University of Florida Design and Construction Standards</i>, special protection is afforded to national Champion and Heritage Specimen trees that are numbered and tagged as part of the University’s “Tree Walk” maintained by the UF School of Forest Resources and Conservation. These tagged trees are for teaching purposes and require special protection during any construction activity that may disturb soil near these trees.</p>	Ongoing	<p>This policy language is directly excerpted from the D&C Standards.</p> <p>Tree mitigation policy was updated in 2019 to emphasize protecting large trees.</p>	No Change. Renumber as Policy 1.3.10
<p>Policy 1.4.11: The Physical Plant Division is responsible for planting, maintenance and removal of trees throughout the main campus and Eastside Campus. The Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences (IFAS) assumes this responsibility in agricultural and range areas within the area of their academic responsibility.</p>	Ongoing	<p>This policy language is directly excerpted from the D&C Standards. This policy is not required by FBOG regulations and these responsibilities are not assigned through the Campus Master Plan process.</p>	Delete
		<p>Incorporated from the Landscape Master Plan</p>	<p>New Policy 1.3.11: Campus utilities shall be placed where the planting and growth of trees is not compromised with underground placement preferred.</p>

Policies	Status	Benchmark Data	Recommendations
<p>Policy 1.4.12: No living tree on the University of Florida main campus or Alachua County Satellite properties shall be removed or relocated without the approval of the Lakes, Vegetation and Landscaping Committee except under the following conditions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The tree is dead. • The tree is an immediate safety hazard to people, domestic animals, buildings or other structures, or motor, bicycle or pedestrian traffic, and no responsible correction is available other than tree removal. • The tree is infested with harmful insects or fungi that cannot be controlled, are not normally present on trees of the species, and may reasonable be expected to spread to other trees not so infested. • The tree or trees were planted specifically for purposes of research or other arboriculture/silviculture activities and were intended to be removed upon research completion or harvest. • A record of such removals is kept and forwarded monthly to the Lakes, Vegetation and Landscaping Committee. • Trees of less than three inches in diameter (nine inches in circumference) may be removed when deemed necessary for maintenance or operations. 	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>This policy language is directly excerpted from the D&C Standards, which draw from the Faculty Senate Bylaws for the Lakes, Vegetation and Landscaping Committee.</p> <p>Update per the 2019 LVLC Tree Mitigation Policy</p>	<p>Modify and Renumber as Policy 1.3.12</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trees of less than three <u>up to five</u> inches in diameter (nine <u>twenty</u> inches in circumference) may be removed when deemed necessary for maintenance or operations.

Policies	Status	Benchmark Data	Recommendations
<p>Policy 1.4.13: The <i>University of Florida Design and Construction Standards</i>, Division 02900 shall continue to identify appropriate tree, shrub, groundcover and lawn specifications including standards for use of native and drought-tolerant plants. Landscaping requirements for parking lots and building construction projects shall also be maintained in these standards available online.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>The Landscape Master Plan and Design & Construction Standards contain these requirements. Other policies reference utilizing these standards.</p>	<p>Delete</p>
<p>Policy 1.4.14: Landscaping required as part of any building new construction, renovation, addition, or remodeling shall be installed during the appropriate phase of construction and shall not be delayed beyond substantial completion of the project.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>Construction projects adhere to this policy.</p>	<p>No Change. Renumber as Policy 1.3.13</p>
<p>Policy 1.4.15: The Lakes, Vegetation and Landscaping Committee shall approve landscape plans and plant selection for construction projects subject to committee review as specified in Policies 1.1.1, 1.1.2 and 1.1.3 of the Implementation Element.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>The LVLC performs this role.</p>	<p>Modify and renumber as Policy 1.3.14 – “...committee review as specified in the <u>Landscape Master Plan Policies 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 of the Implementation Element.</u>”</p>

Objective	Status	Recommendations
<p>Objective 1.5: Utilize urban design concept site planning to evaluate site opportunities, constraints and preferences for distinct campus areas.</p>	<p>The Campus Framework Plan, Landscape Master Plan and Campus Design Guidelines provide campus district plans and typology-based design standards.</p>	<p>Delete. Incorporate this concept into Objective 1.1 and its policies.</p>

Policies	Status	Benchmark Data	Recommendations
<p>Policy 1.5.1: Urban Design Concept Plans, such as those presented in Figures 1-7, 1-8 and 1-9 shall guide future development in distinct campus areas. While these concept plans are not intended to present the exact final implementation, they are a guide for the orientation of future buildings, internal circulation, service areas, parking, landscape features and other site components that must work together to successfully develop the site over the long term. All projects installed on these sites should strive to incrementally implement the urban design concept plan and remain consistent with the overall design intent when new site information may lead to deviations from the specific plan presented.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>The Landscape Master Plan provides standards tailored to specific campus precincts. The Landscape Master Plan and Campus Design Guidelines provide design typologies appropriate to various campus conditions. These typologies are incorporated into the Campus Master Plan Elements for Future Land Use, Urban Design, Transportation, Capital Improvements and other elements as appropriate.</p>	<p>Delete</p>

Policies	Status	Benchmark Data	Recommendations
Policy 1.5.2: The Planning, Design and Construction Division shall work with site occupants, future user groups, and other stakeholders to develop additional urban design concept plans for distinct campus areas. These concept plans shall incorporate the best available information regarding future facility needs and building programs.	Ongoing	PDC worked with stakeholder groups in developing the Campus Framework Plan, Landscape Master Plan, and Campus Design Guidelines. Stakeholder and user group input remains an important part of the campus development process and is addressed in the Implementation Element; however, this policy reference to concept plan development is largely supplanted by these now-existing plans.	Delete

Objective 1.6: Utilize public art to add visual interest and educational opportunity to the campus landscape. (Renumber as 1.5)

Policies	Status	Benchmark Data	Recommendations
Policy 1.6.1: Continue to implement the Art in State Buildings program specified in Chapter 255.043, Florida Statutes and coordinated through the School of Art and Art History.	Ongoing	Construction projects adhere to this policy. The role of the School of Art and Art History is evolving.	Modify and renumber as Policy 1.5.1 – <u>“...through the Planning, Design and Construction Division in collaboration with the College of The Arts.”</u>

Policies	Status	Benchmark Data	Recommendations
<p>Policy 1.6.2: Exterior public art projects, including memorials, should be incorporated in new construction and open space enhancement projects where feasible. These projects may be accomplished through collaborations with the Art in State Buildings program, the College of Fine Arts, the Samuel P. Harn Museum of Art, private donors, grants and public art programs of the City of Gainesville and Alachua County.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>Independently sponsored public art projects have been implemented through the College of The Arts and other partners.</p> <p>The Landscape Master Plan incorporates concepts for an Art Walk and Arts Axis on campus connecting into downtown Gainesville.</p>	<p>Modify and renumber as Policy 1.5.2 -</p> <p>Policy 1.5.2: Exterior public art projects, including memorials, should be incorporated in new construction and open space enhancement projects where feasible <u>particularly along the Art Walk and Arts Axis as identified in the Landscape Master Plan.</u> These projects may be accomplished through collaborations with the Art in State Buildings program, the College of <u>The Arts</u>, the Samuel P. Harn Museum of Art, private donors, grants and public art programs of the City of Gainesville and Alachua County.</p>
<p>Policy 1.6.3: All public art projects, including memorials, that are visible on the exterior of buildings shall be reviewed and recommended for approval by the Lakes, Vegetation and Landscaping Committee (LVLC), and the Land Use and Facilities Planning Committee (LUFPC).</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>These committees have reviewed such projects. Some consideration has been given to incorporating or replacing this with a review by a committee from the College of The Arts. The Construction Project Planning Executive Committee also has a role in reviewing public art and memorials.</p>	<p>Modify and renumber as Policy 1.5.3 -</p> <p>Policy 1.5.3: All public art projects, including memorials, that are visible on the exterior of buildings shall be reviewed and recommended for approval <u>through the Capital Projects Planning Executive Committee (CPPEC) process, and as appropriate,</u> the Lakes, Vegetation and Landscaping Committee (LVLC), and the Land Use and Facilities Planning Committee (LUFPC).</p>

Policies	Status	Benchmark Data	Recommendations
Policy 1.6.4: All public art projects within the Historic District Impact Area shown in Figure 1-2 shall be reviewed by the University's Preservation of Historic Buildings and Sites Committee (PHBSC), and at the direction of this committee or the university administration, may be forwarded to the Florida Division of Historical Resources (DHR) for review in accordance with the University's Programmatic Memorandum of Agreement with the DHR.	Ongoing	PHBSC has reviewed such projects. Some consideration has been given to incorporating or replacing this with a review by a committee from the College of The Arts.	No change. Renumber as Policy 1.5.4.
Policy 1.6.5: Public art that also constitutes the memorial of a person or event shall be reviewed and approved by the Chief Operating Officer upon recommendation of the appropriate Faculty Senate standing committee if required.	Ongoing	Memorials have been reviewed per Policy 1.6.3 and 1.6.4 of the existing Campus Master Plan. The new CPPEC process ensures review by the appropriate university leadership. This policy is redundant with the recommended changes in the above policies.	Delete
Policy 1.6.6: The University shall create a public art and memorials committee, including but not limited to representation from the PHBSC, LVLC, LUFPC, and College of Fine Arts, for the purpose of reviewing public art and memorials that are not part of the Art in State Buildings program.	Not complete	The College of the Arts has begun consideration of forming such a committee. The exact structure and authority has not yet been determined.	Replace and renumber as Policy 1.5.5: The University shall update its processes for implementing the Art in State Buildings Program and including a new process for review and approval of public art and memorials.

Policies	Status	Benchmark Data	Recommendations
Policy 1.6.7: Public art projects that are part of the Art in State Buildings program per Chapter 255.043 F.S., shall be administered by the College of the Arts in collaboration with the Facilities Planning and Construction Division.	Ongoing	The ASB program is administered through the College of The Arts. This policy is redundant of Policy 1.6.1 as recommended to be modified as Policy 1.5.1	Delete

Objective	Status	Recommendations
Objective 1.7: Protect and enhance the historic and archaeological resources of the University.	This Objective is met at the University through its Programmatic Memorandum of Agreement with the Florida Division of Historical Resources. The Objective and its policies will be combined with Facilities Management Element, Objective 1.5 in order to delete that optional Element.	Modify and Renumber – Objective 1.6: Identify, designate, protect, and enhance historic and archaeological resources of the University.
Facilities Maintenance Element, Objective 1.5: Identify, designate and protect historic and archaeological resources.	As the Facilities Maintenance Element is an option Campus Master Plan Element, relevant components of that Element are being incorporated into other plan elements for streamlining.	Delete and incorporate into Objective 1.6 of this Element.

Policies	Status	Benchmark Data	Recommendations
<p>Policy 1.7.1: Continue to identify, designate and protect the university’s historic and archaeological resources by complying with the provisions set forth in the programmatic memorandum of agreement with the State Division of Historic Resources pursuant to Section 267.061(2) Florida Statutes regarding new construction, earthwork and landscaping activities.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>Construction projects adhere to this policy.</p> <p>(Duplicates Facilities Maintenance Element, Policy 1.5.1)</p>	<p>No Change. Renumber as Policy 1.6.1.</p>
<p>Policy 1.7.2: The Physical Plant Division, Planning, Design and Construction Division, and College of Design, Construction and Planning shall continue to collaborate on development of a historic preservation plan for the main campus to include inventories of character-defining features and best practices for rehabilitation and new construction specific to the University of Florida campus including post-modern buildings that are now becoming eligible for inclusion on the National Register of Historic Places.</p>	<p>Complete</p>	<p>A Historic Preservation Plan Report including preservation guidelines and building-specific character-defining features is complete and available on the internet. The Campus Design Guidelines also address historic context and building materials. The University’s Architectural Review Council and Preservation of Historic Buildings and Sites Committee are instrumental in overseeing historic preservation and compatibility.</p>	<p>Replace and renumber -</p> <p>Policy 1.6.2: The Planning, Design and Construction Division, Preservation of Historic Buildings and Sites Committee, and Architectural Review Council shall continue to collaborate on historic preservation with best practices for rehabilitation and new construction specific to the University of Florida campus including mid-century modern buildings that are now becoming eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places.</p>

Policies	Status	Benchmark Data	Recommendations
<p>Facilities Maintenance Element, Policy 1.5.3: Continue to maintain an inventory and evaluation of all archaeological and historic properties under University ownership that are potentially eligible for inclusion on the <u>National Register of Historic Places</u>, and update the programmatic memorandum of agreement with the State Division of Historic Resources pursuant to Section 267.061(2) Florida Statutes as needed when or if additional properties are added to the Register. The Preservation of Historic Buildings and Sites Committee and the Land Use and Facilities Planning Committee shall be consulted prior to the addition of any new university properties on the <u>National Register of Historic Places</u>.</p>	<p>Not Complete</p>	<p>Analysis of buildings approaching 50 years of age was prepared and reviewed by a subcommittee of the PHBSC in 2012. A report was transmitted to the FDHR in 2013. The programmatic memorandum of agreement has not been updated although UF and FDHR staffs have discussed the need and were referencing the 2012/13 report for this effort.</p> <p>Move this policy from the Facilities Maintenance Element.</p>	<p>Move this policy from the Facilities Maintenance Element and Modify – renumber as Policy 1.6.3; replace “on” the National Register with “in”</p>

Policies	Status	Benchmark Data	Recommendations
<p>Facilities Maintenance Element, Policy 1.5.4: Prior to an historic property being demolished, rehabilitated or substantially altered in a way that may adversely affects its character, form, integrity or archaeological or historical value, the University shall consult with the Preservation of Historic Buildings and Sites Committee and the Land Use and Facilities Planning Committee, in addition to any other committee reviews called for through the standard project review process defined in the Implementation Element. For the purpose of this campus master plan, “historic property” shall be any property on the <u>National Register of Historic Places</u>, any building identified on Figure 1-2, or any property deemed eligible for inclusion on the <u>National Register of Historic Places</u> based on its being at least 50-years of age and having received a review from the State Division of Historical Resources documenting its historical significance. For property that is on the <u>National Register of Historic Places</u>, the University shall also consult the Florida Department of State's Division of Historical Resources to avoid or mitigate adverse impacts, and undertake any appropriate salvage or recovery action as required by the programmatic memorandum of agreement.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>Construction projects comply with this policy including new construction, renovations, additions, and demolitions.</p> <p>Move this policy from the Facilities Maintenance Element.</p>	<p>Move this policy from the Facilities Maintenance Element and Modify – renumber as Policy 1.6.4; replace “on” the National Register with “in”</p>

**Objective 1.8: Ensure equal access to university facilities, services and resources for individuals regardless of physical disabilities.
(Move as a Policy under Objective 1.1)**

Policies	Status	Benchmark Data	Recommendations
Policy 1.8.1: Continue to require the provision of accessibility improvements for disabled persons as part of all new construction and renovation projects in compliance with the <u>University of Florida Design and Construction Standards</u> , the <u>Florida Building Code, Chapter 11 (Florida Accessibility Code for Building Construction)</u> , the <u>Americans with Disabilities Act</u> , and the <u>Florida Americans with Disability Accessibility Implementation Act</u> .	Ongoing	Construction projects adhere to this policy, which is redundant of Policy 1.1.1.	Delete and incorporate into Policy 1.1.1 and new Policy 1.1.7
Policy 1.8.2: The University's ADA Compliance Office shall provide review and approval of all ADA accessibility features.	Ongoing	The ADA Compliance Office performs this role. The responsibility for this university function is assigned outside of the Campus Master Plan process.	Delete
Policy 1.8.3: The University's ADA Compliance Office shall continue to assess existing facilities for ADA accessibility, and initiate special projects to correct any deficiencies.	Ongoing	The ADA Compliance Office performs this role. The responsibility for this university function is assigned outside of the Campus Master Plan process.	Delete